arXiv:1510.00129v2 [math.GR] 6 Dec 2015

Coprime graph of subgroups of a group

R. Rajkumar*, P. Devi†

Department of Mathematics, The Gandhigram Rural Institute – Deemed University,

Gandhigram – 624 302, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

Let G be a group. We define the *coprime graph of subgroups* of G, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(G)$, is a graph whose vertex set is the set of all proper subgroups of G, and two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if the order of the corresponding subgroups are coprime. In this paper, we study some connections between algebraic properties of a group and graph theoretic properties of its coprime graph.

Keywords: Coprime graph, finite groups, connectedness, independence number, clique number, planar.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C25, 20K27, 05C69, 05C10.

1 Introduction

Graph theory provide tools to study the algebraic properties of algebraic structures. In particular, there are several graphs associated with groups to study some specific properties of groups, for instance, intersection graph of subgroups of groups, prime graph of groups, non-commuting graphs of groups and permutability graph of subgroups of groups (See [1], [4], [8], [10] and the references therein). In [11], Sattanathan and Kala defined the order prime graph of a group G, which is a graph having the set of all elements of G as its vertices, and two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if the orders of the corresponding subgroups are coprime. They have studied some properties of this graph. This graph was further investigated by Xuanlong Ma et al [7] and Hamid Reza Dorbidi [3]. They called the order prime graph of a group as the coprime graph of a group.

The relation of coprimeness of the orders of the subgroups of a group plays a significant role in the determination of the structural properties of that group. Also the relation of coprimeness is not transitive on the set of all proper subgroups of a group. In this paper, we define the *coprime graph of subgroups* of G, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(G)$. It is a graph having all the proper subgroups of G as its vertices, and two distinct vertices H and K are adjacent if and only if $|H|$ and $|K|$ are coprime.

*e-mail: rrajmaths@yahoo.co.in

†e-mail: pdevigri@gmail.com

Now we recall some basic definitions and notations of graph theory. We use the standard terminology of graphs (e.g., see [5]). Let G be a simple graph. G is said to be k-partite if the vertex set of G can be partitioned to k sets such that no two vertices in same partitions are adjacent. A complete k-partite graph, denoted by $K_{n_1,n_2,\cdots,n_k}$, is a k-partite graph having partition sizes $n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k$ such that every vertex in each partition is adjacent with all the vertices in the remaining partitions. In particular, $K_{1,n}$ is called a *star*. A graph whose edge set is empty is called a *null* graph or *totally disconnected* graph. K_n denotes the complete graph on n vertices. P_n and C_n respectively denotes the path and cycle with n edges. We denote the degree of a vertex v in G by $deg_G(v)$. A graph is said to be *connected* if any two vertices of it can be joined by a path. The *diameter* of a connected graph is the maximum of the length of the shortest path between any pair of vertices. A *tree* is a connected graph with out cycles. G is said to be *H-free* if G has no subgraph isomorphic to H. The girth of G, denoted by $girth(G)$, is the length of its shortest cycle, if it exist; other wise $girth(G) = \infty$. An *independent set* of G is a subset of $V(G)$ having no two vertices are adjacent. The *independence number* of G, denoted by $\alpha(G)$, is the cardinality of the largest independent set. A *clique* of G is a complete subgraph of G. The clique number $\omega(G)$ of G is the cardinality of a largest clique in G. The *chromatic number* $\chi(G)$ of G is the smallest number of colours needed to colour the vertices of G such that no two adjacent vertices gets the same colour. G is said to be *weakly χ-perfect* if $\omega(G) = \chi(G)$. A graph is said to be *planar*, if it can be drawn in the plane, so that no two lines intersect except at the vertices; otherwise it is said to be *nonplanar*. A graph is called *unicyclic*, if it contains exactly one cycle.

For any integer $n > 1$, $\pi(n)$ denotes the set of all prime divisors of n. If G is a finite group, then $\pi(|G|)$ is denoted by $\pi(G)$. The number of Sylow p-subgroups of a group G is denoted by $n_p(G)$. We denote the order of an element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ by $\text{ord}_n(a)$. Moreover, through out this paper, p, q, r, s denotes the distinct primes.

Since the only groups having no proper subgroups are the trivial group, and the groups of prime order, it follows that, we can define $\mathcal{P}(G)$ only when the group G is neither the trivial group nor the group of prime order. So, unless otherwise mentioned, throughout this paper we consider only groups other than the trivial group, and the groups of prime order.

We use only elementary methods. In Section 2, we classify all the finite groups whose coprime graph of subgroups are one of totally disconnected, bipartite, connected, complete, complete bipartite, tree, star or path, and show that the coprime graph of subgroups of a finite group can not be a cycle. For a finite group G, we obtain the independence number, clique number, chromatic number, diameter, girth of $\mathcal{P}(G)$, and show that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is weakly χ-perfect. Moreover, we obtain the degrees of vertices of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, and we show that every simple graph is an induced subgraph of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, for some n.

In Section 3, we classify all the finite groups whose coprime graph of subgroups of groups are one of planar, $K_{2,3}$-free, $K_{1,4}$-free, $K_{1,3}$-free, $K_{1,2}$-free, unicyclic.

2 Some results on coprime graph of subgroups of groups

Theorem 2.1. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two groups. If $G_1 \cong G_2$, then $\mathcal{P}(G_1) \cong \mathcal{P}(G_2)$.*

Proof. Let $f : G_1 \to G_2$ be a group isomorphism. Define a map $\psi : V(\mathcal{P}(G_1)) \to V(\mathcal{P}(G_2))$ by $\psi(H) = f(H)$, for every $H \in V(\Gamma(G_1))$. Since a group isomorphism preserves the order of subgroups, so it follows that ψ is a graph isomorphism. □

Remark: The converse of the above Theorem 2.1, is not true, for if $G_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^5}$ and $G_2 \cong Q_8$, then the number of proper subgroups of G_1 is four and their orders are p, p^2, p^3, p^4; the number of proper subgroups of G_2 is four and their orders are 4, 4, 4, 2. Here $\mathcal{P}(G_1) \cong \overline{K}_4 \cong \mathcal{P}(G_2)$, but $G_1 \ncong G_2$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a group of order $p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$, where p_i's are distinct primes, $\alpha_i \geq 1$. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is k-partite;*

(2) *$\alpha(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \max\limits_i |\mathcal{B}_i|$, where for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $\mathcal{B}_i$ is the set of all proper subgroups of G whose order is divisible by p_i;*

(3) *$\omega(\mathcal{P}(G)) = k = \chi(\mathcal{P}(G))$;*

In particular, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is weakly χ-perfect.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}_1$ be the set of all proper subgroups of G whose order is divisible by p_1. For each $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$, let $\mathcal{A}_i = \{H \mid H \text{ is a proper subgroup of G such that } p_i \text{ divides } |H|\} - \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{A}_j$. Then clearly the collection $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ forms a partition of the vertex set of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Also no two vertices in a same partition are adjacent in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Moreover, k is the minimal number such that a k-partition of the vertex set of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is having this property, since $\pi(G) = k$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is k-partite.

Now for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be the set of all proper subgroups of G whose order is divisible by p_i. Clearly each $\mathcal{B}_i$ is a maximal independent set of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Thus $\alpha(\mathcal{P}(G)) = \max\limits_i |\mathcal{B}_i|$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, G has a subgroup of order p_i. Then the set having one subgroup from each of these orders forms a clique in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Since $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is k-partite, it follows that $\omega(\mathcal{P}(G)) = k$. Obviously, $\chi(\mathcal{P}(G)) = k$. Weakly χ-perfectness of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ follows from the definition. □

The next result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 (1).

Corollary 2.1. *Let G be a group with $\pi(G) = k$. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is totally disconnected if and only if $k = 1$;*

(2) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is bipartite if and only if $k = 1, 2$.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a finite group. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is complete bipartite if and only if G is isomorphic to one of $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, $(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q$ or A_4;*

(2) *The following are equivalent:*

   (a) *$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$;*

   (b) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a tree;*

   (c) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a star.*

(3) *The following are equivalent:*

   (a) *$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$;*

   (b) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is complete;*

   (c) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a path.*

Proof. In view of part (2) of Corollary 2.1, to prove parts (1), (2), and $(a) \Leftrightarrow (c)$ of (3) of this theorem, it is enough to consider the groups of order p^α and $p^\alpha q^\beta$.

If $|G| = p^\alpha$, then by Corollary 2.1(1), $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is totally disconnected and so it is neither complete bipartite nor a tree.

Let $|G| = pq$ with $p < q$. Then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$, then $\mathcal{P}(G) \cong K_2$ and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a path. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, then G has an unique subgroup of order q, and q subgroups of order p; also these are the only proper subgroups of G. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G) \cong K_{1,q}$ and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is complete bipartite, star; but not a path.

Let $|G| = p^2q$. Suppose G is abelian, then G has a subgroup of order pq and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is disconnected. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is neither complete bipartite nor a tree. Now assume that G is non-abelian. Here we use the classification of groups of order p^2q given in [2, p. 76-80].

Case 1: $p < q$:

Case 1a: $p \nmid (q-1)$. By Sylow's Theorem, it is easy to see that there is no non-abelian group in this case.

Case 1b: $p \mid (q-1)$ but $p^2 \nmid (q-1)$. In this case, there are two non-abelian groups.

The first group is $G_1 := \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} = \langle a, b | a^q = b^{p^2} = 1, bab^{-1} = a^i, ord_q(i) = p \rangle$. Here G_1 has an unique subgroup of order q; unique subgroup of order pq; q subgroups of order p^2 and unique subgroups of order p; also these are the only proper subgroups of G_1. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G_1) \cong K_{1,q+1} \cup K_1$. So $\mathcal{P}(G_1)$ is disconnected. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G_1)$ is neither complete bipartite nor a tree.

The second group is $G_2 := \langle a, b, c | a^q = b^p = c^p = 1, bab^{-1} = a^i, ac = ca, bc = cb, ord_q(i) = p \rangle$. Here G_2 has a subgroup $\langle a, c \rangle$ of order pq and so $\mathcal{P}(G_2)$ is disconnected. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G_2)$ is neither complete bipartite nor a tree.

Case 1c: $p^2 | (q-1)$. In this case, we have both groups G_1 and G_2 from Case 1b together with the group $G_3 := \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_2 \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} = \langle a, b | a^q = b^{p^2} = 1, bab^{-1} = a^i, ord_q(i) = p^2 \rangle$. Here G_3 has unique subgroup of order q; unique subgroup of order pq; q subgroups of order p^2, and q subgroups of order p. Also

these are the only proper subgroups of G_3. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G_3) \cong (K_1 + K_{2q}) \cup K_1$ and so $\mathcal{P}(G_3)$ is disconnected. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G_3)$ is neither complete bipartite nor a tree.

Case 2: $p > q$

Case 2a: $q \nmid (p^2 - 1)$. Then there is no non-abelian subgroups.

Case 2b: $q|(p-1)$. In this case, we have two groups.

The first one is $G_4 := \langle a, b | a^{p^2} = b^q = 1, bab^{-1}, ord_{p^2}(i) = q \rangle$. Here G_4 has a unique subgroup of order p^2; unique subgroup of order p; p subgroups of order pq; p^2 subgroups of order q. Also these are the only proper subgroups of G_4. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G_4) \cong (K_2 + K_{p^2}) \cup \overline{K}_p$ and so $\mathcal{P}(G_4)$ is disconnected. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G_4)$ is neither complete bipartite nor a tree.

Next we have the family of groups $\langle a, b, c | a^p = b^p = c^q = 1, cac^{-1} = a^i, cbc^{-1} = b^{i^t}, ab = ba, ord_p(i) = q \rangle$. There are $(q+3)/2$ isomorphism types in this family (one for $t = 0$ and one for each pair $\{x, x^{-1}\}$ in ${F_p}^{\times}$. We will refer to all of these groups as $G_{5(t)}$ of order p^2q. Here $G_{5(t)}$ has a subgroup $\langle a, c \rangle$ of order pq and so $\mathcal{P}(G_{5(t))}$ is disconnected. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G_{5(t)})$ is neither complete bipartite nor a tree.

Case 2c: $q|(p+1)$. In this case, we have only one subgroup of order p^2q, given by $G_6 := (\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q = \langle a, b, c | a^p = b^p = c^q = 1, ab = ba, cac^{-1} = a^i b^j, cbc^{-1} = a^k b^l \rangle$, where $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} i & j \\ k & l \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ has order q in $GL_2(p)$. Here G_6 does not have a subgroup of order pq. But G_6 has an unique subgroup of order p^2; $p+1$ subgroups of order p; p^2 subgroups of order q, also these are the only proper subgroups of G_6. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G_6) \cong K_{p+2} + K_{p^2}$, which is complete bipartite; but which is not a tree.

Note that if $(p, q) = (2, 3)$, the Cases 1 and 2 are not mutually exclusive. Up to isomorphism, there are three non-abelian groups of order 12: $\mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$, D_{12} and A_4. In Case 1b, we already dealt with the group $\mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{P}(D_{12}) \cong K_{1,10} \cup \overline{K}_3$ and $\mathcal{P}(A_4) \cong K_{4,4}$. These three graphs are not trees and the only complete bipartite graph is $\mathcal{P}(A_4)$.

If $|G| = p^\alpha q$, $\alpha \geq 3$, then let a, b be elements in G of order p, q respectively. Here $\langle a, b \rangle$ is a proper subgroup of G whose order is divisible by p and q. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is disconnected. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is neither complete bipartite nor a tree.

If $|G| = p^\alpha q^\beta$, α, $\beta \geq 2$, then G has a subgroup with prime index, since G is solvable and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is disconnected. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is neither complete bipartite nor a tree.

Combining all the above cases together, the proof of parts (1), (2), and $(a) \Leftrightarrow (c)$ of (3) of this theorem follows.

Now, we prove $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b)$ of part (3): Clearly $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. So assume that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is complete. Then by Theorem 2.2, each partition $\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ must contain exactly one subgroup of distinct prime order and so these subgroups are normal in G. If $k > 3$, then G must contain a subgroup whose order is a product of k distinct primes, so this subgroup is an isolated vertex in $\mathcal{P}(G)$, which is not possible. Hence $k = 2$ and so by part (1) of this theorem, it turns out that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.4. *If G is a finite group, then $\mathcal{P}(G) \ncong C_n$, for $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is the cycle $H_1 - H_2 - \cdots - H_n - H_1$ of length n. Since $(|H_1|, |H_2|) = 1 = (|H_2|, |H_3|)$, so without loss of generality, we assume that, $|H_1| = p$, $|H_2| = q$ and $|H_3| = r$ or

p^α. If $|H_3| = r$, then H_1, H_2, H_3 are adjacent and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is complete, which is not possible, by Theorem 2.3(3). If $|H_3| = p^\alpha$, then $(|H_3|, |H_4|) = 1$ implies that $|H_4| = q^\beta$ or r. If $|H_4| = r$, then H_1, H_2, H_4 are adjacent, which is not possible. So we have $|H_4| = q^\beta$. Then $(|H_1|, |H_4|) = 1$ and so H_1 and H_4 are adjacent in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. It follows that $n = 4$ and $|G| = p^\alpha q^\beta$, α, $\beta \geq 1$. Now we check the existence of such a group. If $\alpha + \beta \geq 4$, then G has at least five proper subgroups, which is not possible. If $\alpha + \beta \leq 3$, then $|G| = p^2q$ or pq. In this case, we have shown in the proof of Theorem 2.3 that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ can not be a cycle. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a finite group. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is connected if and only if G does not have a proper subgroup H with $\pi(H) = \pi(G)$. In this case, $diam(\mathcal{P}(G)) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.*

Proof. Suppose G has a subgroup, say H with $\pi(H) = \pi(G)$. Then $|H|$ is not relatively prime to any other subgroups of G. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is disconnected. Conversely, assume that G does not have a subgroup H with $\pi(H) = \pi(G)$. Suppose $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is complete, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is connected and $diam(\mathcal{P}(G)) = 1$. Now assume that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is not complete. Let H and K be two non-adjacent vertices in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Then by assumption, $\pi(H) \neq \pi(G)$ and $\pi(K) \neq \pi(G)$, and so there exist p_i, $p_j \in \pi(G)$ such that $p_i \notin \pi(H)$ and $p_j \notin \pi(K)$. If $p_i = p_j$, then there is a path $H - H_1 - K$, where H_1 is a subgroup of G of order p_i. If $p_i \neq p_j$, then there is a path $H - H_1 - H_2 - K$, where H_1, H_2 are subgroups of G of orders p_i, p_j respectively. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is connected and $diam(\mathcal{P}(G)) \leq 3$. Note that $diam(\mathcal{P}(Z_{pq})) = 1$, $diam(\mathcal{P}(A_4)) = 2$ and $diam(\mathcal{P}(Z_{pqr})) = 3$, so it shows that the diameter of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ takes all the values in $\{1, 2, 3\}$. This completes the proof. □

From the above theorem, for a given finite group G, if $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is disconnected, then G has a proper subgroup H with $\pi(H) = \pi(G)$. It turns out that such a subgroup H will be an isolated vertex of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. As a consequence, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be a finite group. If $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is disconnected, then $\mathcal{P}(G) \cong \mathcal{G} \cup \overline{K}_r$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is a connected component of $\mathcal{P}(G)$, and r is the number of proper subgroups H of G with $\pi(H) = \pi(G)$.*

Theorem 2.6. *If G is a finite group, then $girth(\mathcal{P}(G)) \in \{3, 4, \infty\}$.*

Proof. Let G be a group of order $p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$, where p_i's are distinct primes and $\alpha_i \geq 1$. If $k \geq 3$, then any three subgroups of G of distinct prime orders are mutually adjacent in $\mathcal{P}(G)$ and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains C_3 as a subgraph. It follows that $girth(\mathcal{P}(G)) = 3$. If $k \leq 2$, then by Corollary 2.1(2), $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is bipartite and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ can not contain an odd cycle. Now we consider the following cases:
Case a: $|G| = p^\alpha q^\beta$, α, $\beta \geq 2$. Here G has subgroups of orders p, p^2, q, q^2, let them be H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 respectively. Then $p(G)$ contains the cycle $H_1 - H_3 - H_2 - H_4 - H_1$ and so $girth(\mathcal{P}(G)) = 4$.
Case b: $|G| = p^\alpha q$, $\alpha \geq 2$. Suppose Sylow q-subgroup of G is not unique, then G has at least two Sylow q-subgroup, let them be H_1, H_2 and G has subgroups of order p, p^2, let them be H_3, H_4 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains the cycle $H_1 - H_3 - H_2 - H_4 - H_1$ and so $girth(\mathcal{P}(G)) = 4$. Suppose Sylow q-subgroup of G is unique, then in the bipartition of the vertex set of $\mathcal{P}(G)$, one partition contains only the Sylow q-subgroup of G and another partition contains the remaining subgroups of G. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ does not contains a cycle, so $girth(\mathcal{P}(G))$ is ∞.

Case c: $|G| = pq$. By Theorem 2.3(3), $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a path and so $girth(\mathcal{P}(G))$ is ∞.

Case d: $|G| = p^\alpha$. By Corollary 2.1(1), $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is totally disconnected and so $girth(\mathcal{P}(G))$ is ∞.

Proof follows by combining all the above cases together. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$, where p_i's are distinct primes and $\alpha_i \geq 1$. If H is a proper subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ of order $p_{i_1}^{\alpha_{i_1}} p_{i_2}^{\alpha_{i_2}} \dots p_{i_r}^{\alpha_{i_r}}$, then $\deg_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n)}(H) = \prod_{j \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}} (\alpha_j + 1) - 1$.*

Proof. It is well known that for every divisor d of n, $\mathbb{Z}_n$ has a unique subgroup of order d. Let K be a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ which is adjacent with H in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. Then $(|H|, |K|) = 1$ and $|K| = p_{j_1}^{\alpha_{j_1}} p_{j_2}^{\alpha_{j_2}} \dots p_{j_s}^{\alpha_{j_s}}$, with $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$. But for each $j \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$, the power of p_j can be chosen in $(\alpha_j + 1)$ ways. It follows that, such a subgroup K can be chosen in $\prod_{j \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}} (\alpha_j + 1)$ ways. Excluding the trivial subgroup, we have $\prod_{j \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}} (\alpha_j + 1) - 1$ subgroups in $\mathbb{Z}_n$ which are adjacent with H in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.8. *If $\mathcal{G}$ is a simple graph on m vertices, then there exist $m' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{G}$ is an induced subgraph of $\mathcal{P}(Z_{m'})$.*

Proof. Let n be the number of maximal independent sets of $\mathcal{G}$. Now assign n distinct primes for each of these maximal independent sets. Let v be a fixed vertex of $\mathcal{G}$. If v belongs to t maximal independent sets of $\mathcal{G}$, then label to v, the product of primes which are assigned to these t maximal independent sets. Similarly we can label the other vertices of $\mathcal{G}$. If all these labeling are distinct, then keep them as it is. Otherwise, in order to make the labeling distinct, we relabel the vertices by using different powers of these primes. Now let m' be the least common multiple of the labels assigned to vertices of $\mathcal{G}$. Again relabel each of these labels by subgroup of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{m'})$ whose order is the same label. Then it turns out that $\mathcal{G}$ is an induced subgraph of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{m'})$. Hence the proof. □

Now we illustrate Theorem 2.8 in the following example.

Example 2.1. Consider the graph $\mathcal{G}$ as shown in figure 1.



Figure 1: The graph $\mathcal{G}$

Here $I_1 := \{v_1, v_3, v_4\}$, $I_2 := \{v_2, v_5\}$ are the only maximal independent subsets of $\mathcal{G}$. First we assign prime p_i to I_i for each $i = 1, 2$. Here $v_1 \in I_1$, so label v_1 by p_1; $v_2 \in I_2$, so label v_2 by

p_2; $v_3 \in I_1$, so label v_3 by p_1; $v_4 \in I_1$, so label v_4 by p_1; $v_5 \in I_2$, so label v_5 by p_2. Since v_1, v_3, v_4 have the same label, and v_2, v_5 have the same label, so we relabel them in the following way: label v_1, v_3, v_4 by p_1, p_1^2, p_1p_2 respectively, and v_2, v_5 by p_2, p_2^2 respectively. Let $m' = p_1^2p_2^2$. Again relabel each of these labels by subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{m'}$ whose order is the same label. It follows that $\mathcal{G}$ is the induced subgraph of $\mathbb{Z}_{m'}$.

3 Coprime graph of subgroups of groups which are planar, unicyclic, with forbidden subgraphs

The famous Kuratowski's Theorem (see [5, Theorem 11.13]) characterized planar graphs as those graphs which does not contain subgraphs homeomorphic to either K_5 or $K_{3,3}$. We repeatedly use this theorem to show the non-planarity of the coprime graph of subgroups of groups. The explicit plane embedding will be given when the coprime graph of subgroups of a group is planar.

The main aim of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a finite group. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar if and only if G is one of a p-group, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes P$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \times P$, where P is a p-group, $\langle a, b, c \mid a^q = b^p = c^p = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, bab^{-1} = a^i, ord_q(i) = p\rangle$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_2 \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q$, D_{12}, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q)$, $\mathbb{Z}_9 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$, D_{18}, $|G| = p^\alpha q^2 (\alpha \geq 3)$ with G has unique cyclic Sylow q-subgroup, $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2qr}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{pqrs}$;*

(2) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{2,3}$-free if and only if G is one of a p-group, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes P$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \times P$, where P is a p-group, $\langle a, b, c \mid a^q = b^p = c^p = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, bab^{-1} = a^i, ord_q(i) = p\rangle$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_2 \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, D_{12}, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$;*

(3) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{2,2}$-free if and only if G is one of p-group, $\mathbb{Z}_q \times P$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes P$, where P is a p-group, $\langle a, b, c \mid a^q = b^p = c^p = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, bab^{-1} = a^i, ord_q(i) = p\rangle$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_2 \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, D_{12} or $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$;*

(4) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,4}$-free if and only if G is one of p-group, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha q} (\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^3q^2}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^3q^3}$, S_3 or $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$;*

(5) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,3}$-free if and only if G is one of a p-group, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha q}$ $(\alpha = 1, 2)$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$;*

(6) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,2}$-free if and only if G is either a p-group or $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$;*

(7) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is unicyclic if and only if G is either $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$.*

First we start with the following result:

Proposition 3.1. *If G is a group whose order has atleast five distinct prime factors, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains K_5.*

Proof. Since G has atleast five prime factors, it has atleast five subgroups of distinct prime orders and so they are adjacent with each other in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains K_5. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a group whose order has four distinct prime factors. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar if and only if $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pqrs}$;*

(2) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{2,3}$ and $K_{1,4}$.*

Proof. Let $|G| = p^\alpha q^\beta r^\gamma s^\delta$. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 be subgroups of G of order p, q, r, s respectively and let $H_5 := \langle a, b\rangle$ be subgroup of G, where a, b are elements of G of order q, s respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1\}$ and $Y := \{H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5\}$. We divide the rest of the proof into two cases:
Case 1: Let one of α, β, γ or $\delta \geq 3$, without loss of generality we assume that $\alpha \geq 3$. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$, where order of H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 are p, p^2, p^3, q, r, s respectively.
Case 2: Let each α, β, γ and $\delta \leq 2$. Suppose the Sylow subgroups of G is not unique, without loss of generality, we assume that the Sylow p-subgroup is not unique. Here G has at least three subgroups, say H_1, H_2, H_3 of order p^α, and subgroups H_4, H_5, H_6 of order q, r, s respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$.

Suppose all the Sylow subgroups of G are unique, then G is abelian. Now we consider the following subcases:
Subase 2a: G is cyclic. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pqrs}$, then let H_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 14$ be subgroups of G of orders p, q, r, s, pq, pr, ps, qr, qs, rs, pqr, pqs, prs, qrs respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar and the corresponding plane embedding is shown in Figure 2; also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{2,3}$ and $K_{1,4}$.



Figure 2: $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{pqrs})$

If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2qrs}$, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$, where H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 are subgroups of G of order p, p^2, pq, r, s, rs respectively. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2rs}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2r^2s}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2r^2s^2}$, then G has $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2qrs}$ as a subgroup and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$.
Subcase 2b: G is non-cyclic abelian. Here G has atleast three subgroups of any one of prime order p, q, r or s, without loss of generality, say p. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with

bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$, where H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 are subgroups of G of order p, p, p, q, r, s respectively.

Combining all the cases together the proof follows. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a group whose order has three distinct prime factors. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar if and only if G is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2qr}$;*

(2) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,2}$;*

(3) *The following are equivalent:*

   (a) *$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$;*
   (b) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,4}$-free;*
   (c) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{2,3}$-free;*
   (d) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,3}$-free;*
   (e) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{2,2}$-free;*
   (f) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is unicyclic.*

Proof. Let $|G| = p^\alpha q^\beta r^\gamma$. We need to consider the following cases.
Case 1: Let one of α, β or $\gamma \geq 3$, without loss of generality we assume that $\alpha \geq 3$. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$, where H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 are subgroups of G of order p, p^2, p^3, q, r respectively and $H_6 := \langle a, b\rangle$, a, b are elements of G of order q, r respectively. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, K_2\}$ and $Y := \{H_4\}$.
Case 2: Let each α, β and $\gamma \leq 2$. Suppose a Sylow subgroup of G is not unique, without loss of generality, we assume that Sylow p-subgroup is not unique. Then G has at least three subgroups, say H_1, H_2, H_3 of order p^α, and subgroups H_4, H_5 of order q, r, respectively, and $H_6 := \langle a, b\rangle$, a, b are elements of G of order q, r respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, K_2\}$ and $Y := \{H_4\}$.

Now assume that all the Sylow subgroups of G are unique. Then G is abelian.

(a) Let G be cyclic. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$, then let H_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$ be subgroups of G of orders p, q, r, pq, pr, qr respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar and the corresponding plane embedding is shown in Figure 3. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is unicyclic; it does not contain $K_{2,2}$, $K_{1,3}$ as subgraphs; but it contains $K_{1,2}$ as a subgraph. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2qr}$, then let H_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 10$ be subgroups of G of orders p, p^2, q, r, pq, pr, qr, p^2q, p^2r, pqr respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar and the corresponding plane embedding is shown in Figure 4. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is not unicyclic; it contains $K_{2,3}$ as a subgraph and does not contain $K_{1,4}$. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2r}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2r^2}$, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains a subdivision of K_5 as shown in Figure 5 with vertices H_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 7$ of order p, p^2, q, q^2, r, pr, qr respectively. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$; it contains $K_{2,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2\}$ and $Y := \{H_3, H_4, H_5\}$.



Figure 3: $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{pqr})$



Figure 4: $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2qr})$



Figure 5: A subdivision of K_5

(b) Let G be non-cyclic abelian. Here G has atleast three subgroups of any one of prime order p, q, r or s, let it be p. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$, where H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 are subgroups of G of order p, p, p, q, r, s respectively. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_5\}$ and $Y := \{H_4\}$.

The proof follows by combining all the above cases together. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be a group of order $p^\alpha q^\beta$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 2$, $\alpha + \beta \geq 6$. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar if and only if $|G| = p^\alpha q^2$ with G has unique cyclic Sylow q-subgroup;*

(2) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,4}$-free if and only if $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^3q^3}$;*

(3) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ constains $K_{2,3}$.*

Proof. Proof is divided into two cases:
Case 1: $\alpha + \beta \geq 6$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 3$. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 be subgroups of G of order p, p^2, p^3, q, q^2, q^3 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$.

If $\alpha \geq 4$, then G has subgroups of order p, p^2, p^3, p^4, q, let them be H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$.

Let $\alpha = 3$. Suppose Sylow p-subgroup and Sylow q-subgroup of G are cyclic and are unique, then G is cyclic and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is bipartite with one partition contains all subgroups whose orders has p as a

divisor and another partition contains remaining proper subgroups of G. Thus $\mathcal{P}(G) \cong K_{3,3} \cup \overline{K}_{10}$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,4}$-free.

If Sylow p-subgroup or Sylow q-subgroup of G is not cyclic, without loss of generality, we assume that Sylow p-subgroup is not cyclic, then G has subgroups of order p, p^2, p^3, p^i, q, for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let them be H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$.

If Sylow p-subgroup or Sylow q-subgroup is not unique, without loss of generality, we assume that Sylow p-subgroup is not unique, then G has subgroups of order p, p^2, p^3, p^3, q, let them be H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$.

Case 2: $\alpha + \beta \geq 6$, $\beta = 2$. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 be subgroups of G of order p, p^2, p^3, p^4, q^2, q respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$; also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{2,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_5, H_6\}$.

If Sylow q-subgroup of G is not unique, then G has subgroups of order p, p^2, p^3, q^2, q^2, q, let them be H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$.

If Sylow q-subgroup of G is unique and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, then G has subgroups of order p, p^2, p^3, q^2, q, q, let them be H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$.

If Sylow q-subgroup of G is unique and cyclic, then G has an unique subgroups of order q, q^2, let them be H_1, H_2 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar, since $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is bipartite with one partition contains all the subgroups whose order has p as a divisor and another partition contains H_1, H_2.

The proof follows by combining the above cases together. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a group of order p^3q^2. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar if and only if G has a unique cyclic Sylow q-subgroup;*

(2) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,4}$-free if and only if $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^3q^2}$.*

(3) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ constains $K_{2,3}$.*

Proof. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 be subgroups of G of order p, p^2, p^3, q, q^2 respectively. Here $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,2}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5\}$.

Suppose Sylow p-subgroup, and Sylow q-subgroup of G are cyclic, and are unique, then G is cyclic and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is bipartite with one partition contains all subgroups whose order has p as a divisor and another partition contains remaining subgroups of G. Thus $\mathcal{P}(G) \cong K_{2,3} \cup \overline{K}_7$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,4}$-free.

If Sylow p-subgroup of G is not unique, then G has subgroups of order p^3, p^3, p^2, p, q^2, say H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$.

If Sylow p-subgroup of G is not cyclic, then G has subgroups of order p^3, p^i, p^2, p, q^2, for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, say H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$.

Suppose Sylow q-subgroup of G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, then G has subgroups of order p^3, p^2, p, q, q, q, q^2, say H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$ and $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7\}$ and $Y := \{H_1\}$.

Suppose Sylow q-subgroup of G is not unique, then G has subgroups of order p^3, p^2, p, q, q^2, q^2, q^2, say H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$ and it contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7\}$ and $Y := \{H_1\}$.

If Sylow q-subgroup of G is unique and it is cyclic, then G has an unique subgroup of order q, q^2, let them be H_1, H_2 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar, since $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is bipartite with one partition contains all the subgroups whose order has p as a divisor and another partition contains H_1, H_2. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar if and only if G has a unique cyclic Sylow q-subgroup. In [9] Myron Owen Tripp showed that up to isomophism, there are 15 such groups of order p^3q^2 exist. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a group of order p^2q^2. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar if and only if G is isomorphic to one of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q)$, $\mathbb{Z}_9 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ or D_{18};*

(2) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{2,2}$;*

(3) *The following are equivalent:*

   (a) *$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2}$;*

   (b) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,4}$-free;*

   (c) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{2,3}$-free;*

   (d) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,3}$-free;*

   (e) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is unicyclic.*

Proof. We consider the following cases:
Case 1: G is non-abelian. We use the classification of groups of order p^2q^2 given in [6]. With out loss of generality, we assume that $p > q$. Let P and Q denote a Sylow p-subgroup and Sylow q-subgroup of G respectively. By Sylow's theorem, $n_p = 1, q, q^2$. But $n_p = q$ is not possible, since $p > q$. If $n_p = q^2$, then $p \mid (q+1)(q-1)$, this implies that $p \mid (q+1)$, which is true only when $(p, q) = (3, 2)$. When $(p, q) \neq (3, 2)$, then $G \cong P \rtimes Q$.

If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q^2} = \langle a, b | a^{p^2} = b^{q^2} = 1, bab^{-1} = a^i, i^{q^2} \equiv 1 (\text{mod } p^2) \rangle$, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar, since it is bipartite with one partition contains all the subgroups of G whose order has q as a divisor, and another partition contains the subgroups of G order p, p^2; also G has p^2 Sylow q-subgroups. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{2,3}$ and $K_{1,4}$ as subgraphs and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is not unicyclic.

If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \times (\mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q)$, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar, since it is bipartite with one partition contains all the subgroups whose order has q as a divisor, and another partition contains the subgroups of G order p, p^2; also G has p^2 Sylow q-subgroups. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{2,3}$ and $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is not unicyclic.

If $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q^2} := \langle a, b, c \mid a^p = b^p = c^{q^2} = 1, ab = ba, cac^{-1} = a^i b^j, cbc^{-1} = a^k b^l \rangle$, where $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} i & j \\ k & l \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ has order q^2 in $GL_2(p)$, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$, where H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 are subgroups of G of order p, p, p, q^2, q^2, q respectively. Also G has p^2 Sylow q-subgroups, so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph.

If $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q)$, Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$, where H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 are subgroups of G of order p, p, p, q, q, q respectively. Also G has p^2 Sylow q-subgroups, so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph.
If $(p, q) = (3, 2)$, then up to isomorphism, there are nine groups of order 36. In the following we consider each of these groups.

(a) $G \cong D_{18}$. Here G has unique subgroups of order 3 and 9 respectively; the order of remaining proper subgroups have 2 as their common divisor. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar, since it is bipartite with one partition contains subgroups of order 3, 9, and another partition contains remaining proper subgroups of G. Since there are 18 subgroups of D_{18} has order 2, so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{2,3}$ and $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph.

(b) $G \cong S_3 \times S_3$. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7 be subgroups of G of order 3, 3, 9, 2, 2, 2, 2 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7\}$ and $Y := \{H_1\}$.

(c) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times A_4$. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7 be subgroups of G of order 3, 3, 9, 2, 2, 2, 4 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7\}$ and $Y := \{H_1\}$.

(d) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \times S_3$. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7 be subgroups of G of order 3, 3, 9, 2, 2, 2, 2 respectively. Here $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7\}$ and $Y := \{H_1\}$.

(e) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_9 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4 = \langle a, b \mid a^9 = b^4 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^i, i^4 \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 9) \rangle$, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar, since it is bipartite with one partition contains all the subgroups whose order has p as a divisor, and another partition contains the subgroups of order q, q^2. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{2,3}$ as a subgraph. Also G has nine subgroups of order 4. So $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$, where H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 are subgroups of G of order 4, 4, 4, 2, 3 respectively.

(f) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4) = \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^4 = 1, ab = ba, ac = ca, cbc^{-1} = b^i, \mathrm{ord}_2(i) = 3\rangle$. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7 be subgroups of G of order 3, 3, 9, 2, 4, 4, 4 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7\}$ and $Y := \{H_1\}$.

(g) $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4 := \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = b^3 = c^4 = 1, ab = ba, cac^{-1} = a^i b^j, cbc^{-1} = a^k b^l\rangle$, where $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} i & j \\ k & l \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ has order 4 in $GL_2(3)$. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7 be subgroups of G of order 3, 3, 3, 9, 2, 4, 4 respectively. Here $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_5, H_6, H_7\}$. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$.

(h) $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7 be subgroups of G of order 3, 3, 3, 9, 2, 2, 2 respectively. Here $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_5, H_6, H_7\}$. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$.

(i) $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_9$. Let H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7 be subgroups of G of order 3, 3, 9, 2, 2, 2, 4 respectively. Here $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$.

Case 2: G is abelian. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2q^2}$, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{P}(G) \cong K_{2,2} \cup \overline{K}_3$, which is planar, $K_{1,3}$-free and it contains $K_{2,2}$ as a subgraph.

If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2q} \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar, since $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is bipartite with one partition contains all the subgroups whose order has p as a divisor, and another partition contains the subgroups of order q, q^2. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{2,3}$ as a subgraph. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$, where H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 are subgroups of G of order q, q, q, q^2, p respectively.

If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \times \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$, where H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7 are subgroups of G of order p, p, p, q, q, q, q^2 respectively. Also $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7\}$ and $Y := \{H_1\}$.

The proof follows by combining all the above cases together. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let G be a group of order $p^\alpha q$. Then*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar if and only if G is one of $\mathbb{Z}_q \times P$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes P$, where P is a p-group, $\langle a, b, c \mid a^q = b^p = c^p = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, bab^{-1} = a^i, ord_q(i) = p\rangle$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_2 \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q$ or D_{12};*

(2) *The following are equivalent:*

   (a) *G is one of $\mathbb{Z}_q \times P$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes P$, where P is a p-group, $\langle a, b, c \mid a^q = b^p = c^p = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, bab^{-1} = a^i, ord_q(i) = p\rangle$, $\mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes_2 \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ or D_{12};*

(b) $\mathcal{P}(G)$ *is* $K_{2,3}$*-free;*

(c) $\mathcal{P}(G)$ *is* $K_{2,2}$*-free.*

(3) $\mathcal{P}(G)$ *is* $K_{1,4}$*-free if and only if* G *is one of* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha q}(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$ *or* S_3*.*

(4) $\mathcal{P}(G)$ *is* $K_{1,3}$*-free if and only if* G *either* $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ *or* $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$*;*

(5) $\mathcal{P}(G)$ *is* $K_{1,2}$*-free if and only if* $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$*;*

(6) $\mathcal{P}(G)$ *is acyclic.*

Proof. Proof is divided into several cases.

Case 1: $\alpha \geq 3$.

Suppose Sylow q-subgroup of G is not unique, then G has subgroups of order p, p^2, p^3, q, q, q, let them be H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6 respectively. Here $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$.

Suppose Sylow q-subgroup is unique, then $G \cong Z_p \times P$ or $Z_q \rtimes P$, where P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is bipartite with one partition contains a subgroup whose order is q and another partition contains remaining proper subgroups of G. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar and $K_{2,2}$-free.

Now we check the $K_{1,4}$-freeness of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. If $\alpha \geq 4$, then G has subgroups of order p, p^2, p^3, p^4, q, let them be H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$.

Let $\alpha = 3$. Suppose Sylow p-subgroup of G is not unique, then G has subgroups of order p, p^2, p^3, p^3, q, let them be H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$.

Suppose Sylow p-subgroup of G is unique and cyclic, then G has a unique subgroups of order p, p^2, p^3, let them be H_1, H_2, H_3, and so $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a bipartite graph with one partition contains H_1, H_2, H_3 and another partition contains remaining proper subgroups of G. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is $K_{1,4}$-free. But $\mathcal{P}(G)$ contains $K_{1,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4\}$, where H_4 is a subgroup of order q.

Case 2: $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 1$.

Suppose G is cyclic, then G has only four proper subgroups and their orders are p^2, p, q, pq respectively. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G) \cong K_{1,2} \cup K_1$, which is planar and $K_{1,2}$-free.

If G is non-cyclic abelian, then G has $p + 1$ subgroups of order p; unique subgroup of order p^2; unique subgroup of order q; $p+1$ subgroups of order pq. Also these are the only proper subgroups of G. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G) \cong K_{1,p+2} \cup \overline{K}_{p+1}$ and hence $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar; it contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph and it is $K_{2,2}$-free.

If G is non-abelian, then we need to consider the list of groups of order p^2q used in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

(a) From the structure of $\mathcal{P}(G_1)$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}(G_1)$ is planar, $K_{2,2}$-free and it contains $K_{1,4}$.

(b) Note that G_2 has unique subgroup of order q. $\mathcal{P}(G_2)$ is planar, since $\mathcal{P}(G_2)$ is bipartite with one partition contains all the subgroups whose order has p as a divisor, and another partition contains the subgroup of order q. So $\mathcal{P}(G_2)$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ and $Y := \{H_5\}$, where H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 are subgroups of G of order p, p, p, p^2, q respectively. Clearly $\mathcal{P}(G_2)$ is $K_{2,2}$-free.

(c) From the structure of $\mathcal{P}(G_3)$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}(G_3)$ is planar, $K_{2,2}$-free and it contains $K_{1,4}$.

(d) From the structure of $\mathcal{P}(G_4)$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}(G_4)$ is planar, and it contains $K_{2,3}$, $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph.

(e) $G_{5(t)}$ has at least three subgroups of order p, and at least three subgroups of order q. So $\mathcal{P}(G_{5(t)})$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ and $Y := \{H_4, H_5, H_6\}$, where H_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and H_j, $j = 4, 5, 6$ are subgroups of G of order p, p, p, q, q, q respectively. Also $\mathcal{P}(G_{5(t)})$ contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph with bipartition $X := \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_7\}$ and $Y := \{H_4\}$, where H_7 is a subgroup of G of order p^2.

(f) From the structure of $\mathcal{P}(G_6)$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}(G_6)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph, so it is non-planar; also it contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph.

(g) From the structure of $\mathcal{P}(D_{12})$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}(D_{12})$ is planar, $K_{2,2}$-free and it contains $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph. Also from the structure of $\mathcal{P}(A_4)$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}(A_4)$ contains $K_{3,3}$ and $K_{1,4}$ as a subgraph.

Case 3: Let $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Then G has a unique subgroup of order q, let it be H; it has q subgroups of order p; also these are the only proper subgroups of G. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(G) \cong K_{1,q}$, which is planar, acyclic and $K_{2,2}$-free; it is $K_{1,4}$-free if and only if $q = 3$; it contains $K_{1,3}$.

The proof follows by combining all the above cases together. □

Proposition 3.8. *If G is a p-group, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is planar, $K_{2,3}$-free, $K_{2,2}$-free, $K_{1,4}$-free, $K_{1,3}$-free, $K_{1,2}$-free and acyclic.*

Proof. If G is a p-group, then order of every subgroup of G is power of p and so no two subgroups are adjacent in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is totally disconnected and this completes the proof. □

Putting together all the Propositions proved so for in this section, we obtain the main Theorem 2.6.

References

[1] A. Abdollahi, S. Akbari and H. R. Maimani, Non-commuting graph of a group, *J. Algebra.* 298 (2006) 468–492.

[2] W. Burnside, Theory of groups of finite order, Dover Publications, Cambridge, 1955.

[3] Hamid Reza Dorbidi, A note on the coprime graph of a group, *Int. J. Group Theory*, 2015.

[4] Hadi Ahmadi and Bijan Taeri, Planarity of the intersection graph of subgroups of a finite group, *J. Algebra Appl.* 15 (2016) Article No. 1650040 (19 pages).

[5] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Philippines, 1969.

[6] H. L. Lin, On groups of order p^2q, p^2q^2, *Tamkang J. Math.* (1974) 167–190.

[7] X. Ma, H. Wei and L. Yang, The coprime graph of a group, *Int. J. Group Theory*, 3 (2014) 13–23.

[8] A. R. Moghaddamfar, A. R. Zokayi, and M. R. Darafsheh, A Characterization of finite simple groups by the degrees of vertices of their prime graphs, *Algebra Colloq.* 12 (2005) 431–442.

[9] Myron Owen Tripp, Groups of order p^3q^2, Ph.D Thesis, 1909.

[10] R. Rajkumar and P. Devi, Planarity of permutability graph of subgroups of groups, *J. Algebra Appl.* 13 (2014) Article No. 1350112 (15 pages).

[11] M. Sattanathan and R. Kala, An introduction to order prime graph, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences.* 4 (2009) 467–474.